



# ECIBC recommendation on digital breast tomosynthesis use for early detection of breast cancer in asymptomatic women

## Bibliography

### Evidence of effects and background

Alsheik, N. H., Dabbous, F., Pohlman, S. K., Troeger, K. M., Gliklich, R. E., Donadio, G. M., Su, Z., Menon, V., Conant, E. F.. Comparison of Resource Utilization and Clinical Outcomes Following Screening with Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Versus Digital Mammography: Findings From a Learning Health System. *Acad Radiol*; 2018.

Aujero, M. P., Gavenonis, S. C., Benjamin, R., Zhang, Z., Holt, J. S.. Clinical Performance of Synthesized Two-dimensional Mammography Combined with Tomosynthesis in a Large Screening Population. *Radiology*; 2017.

Bahl M, Gaffney S, McCarthy AM, Lowry KP, Dang PA, Lehman CD. Breast Cancer Characteristics Associated with 2D Digital Mammography versus Digital Breast Tomosynthesis for Screening-detected and Interval Cancers. *Radiology*; 2018.

Bahl, M., Pinnamaneni, N., Mercaldo, S., McCarthy, A. M., Lehman, C. D.. Digital 2D versus Tomosynthesis Screening Mammography among Women Aged 65 and Older in the United States. *Radiology*; 2019.

Bernardi D, Macaskill P, Pellegrini M, Valentini M, Fantò C, Ostillo L, Tuttobene P, Luparia A, Houssami N.. Breast cancer screening with tomosynthesis (3D mammography) with acquired or synthetic 2D mammography compared with 2D mammography alone (STORM-2): a population-based prospective study. *Lancet Oncol*; 2016.

Bernardi, D., Gentilini, M. A., De Nisi, M., Pellegrini, M., Fanto, C., Valentini, M., Sabatino, V., Luparia, A., Houssami, N.. Effect of implementing digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT) instead of mammography on population screening outcomes including interval cancer rates: Results of the Trento DBT pilot evaluation. *Breast*; 2019.

Ciatto S, Houssami N, Bernardi D, Caumo F, Pellegrini M, Brunelli S, et al. Integration of 3D digital mammography with tomosynthesis for population breast-cancer screening (STORM): a prospective comparison study. *The Lancet Oncology*; 2013.

Conant, E. F., Barlow, W. E., Herschorn, S. D., Weaver, D. L., Beaber, E. F., Tosteson, A. N. A., Haas, J. S., Lowry, K. P., Stout, N. K., Trentham-Dietz, A., diFlorio-Alexander, R. M., Li, C. I., Schnall, M. D., Onega, T., Sprague, B. L.. Association of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis vs Digital Mammography With Cancer Detection and Recall Rates by Age and Breast Density. *JAMA Oncol*; 2019.

Destounis, S., Arieno, A., Morgan, R.. Initial Experience with Combination Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Plus Full Field Digital Mammography or Full Field Digital Mammography Alone in the Screening Environment. *Journal of Clinical Imaging Science*; 2014.

Durand, M. A., Haas, B. M., Yao, X., Geisel, J. L., Raghu, M., Hooley, R. J., Horvath, L. J., Philpotts, L. E.. Early clinical experience with digital breast tomosynthesis for screening mammography. *Radiology*; 2014.



Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Ervik M, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray, F. GLOBOCAN 2012 v1.0, Cancer Incidence and Mortality Worldwide: IARC CancerBase No. 11 [Internet]. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2013. Available from: <http://globocan.iarc.fr>, accessed on day/month/year.

Freer, P. E., Riegert, J., Eisenmenger, L., Ose, D., Winkler, N., Stein, M. A., Stoddard, G. J., Hess, R.. Clinical implementation of synthesized mammography with digital breast tomosynthesis in a routine clinical practice. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*; 2017.

Friedewald, S. M., Rafferty, E. A., Rose, S. L., Durand, M. A., Plecha, D. M., Greenberg, J. S., Hayes, M. K., Copit, D. S., Carlson, K. L., Cink, T. M., Barke, L. D., Greer, L. N., Miller, D. P., Conant, E. F.. Breast cancer screening using tomosynthesis in combination with digital mammography. *Jama*; 2014.

Giess, C.S., et al.. Comparing Diagnostic Performance of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Full-Field Digital Mammography in a Hybrid Screening Environment. *Roentgenol*; 2017.

Greenberg, J. S., Javitt, M. C., Katzen, J., Michael, S., Holland, A. E.. Clinical performance metrics of 3D digital breast tomosynthesis compared with 2D digital mammography for breast cancer screening in community practice. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*; 2014.

Haas, B. M., Kalra, V., Geisel, J., Raghu, M., Durand, M., Philpotts, L. E.. Comparison of tomosynthesis plus digital mammography and digital mammography alone for breast cancer screening. *Radiology*; 2013.

Houssami N, Bernardi D, Caumo F, Brunelli S, Fantò C, Valentini M, et al. Interval breast cancers in the screening with tomosynthesis or standard mammography (STORM) population-based trial. *Breast*; 2018.

Hovda, T., Holen, A. S., Lang, K., Albertsen, J. L., Bjørndal, H., Brandal, S. H. B., Sahlberg, K. K., Skaane, P., Suhrke, P., Hofvind, S.. Interval and Consecutive Round Breast Cancer after Digital Breast Tomosynthesis and Synthetic 2D Mammography versus Standard 2D Digital Mammography in BreastScreen Norway. *Radiology*; 2019.

Johnson K, Zackrisson, Rosso, Sartor, Saal, Anderson, Lang. Tumor Characteristics and Molecular Subtypes in Breast Cancer Screening with Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: The Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. *Radiology*; 2019.

Lång K, Nergården M, Andersson I, Rosso A, Zackrisson S. False positives in breast cancer screening with one-view breast tomosynthesis: An analysis of findings leading to recall, work-up and biopsy rates in the Malmö Breast Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. *Eur Radiol*; 2016.

Lourenco, A. P., Barry-Brooks, M., Baird, G. L., Tuttle, A., Mainiero, M. B.. Changes in recall type and patient treatment following implementation of screening digital breast tomosynthesis. *Radiology*; 2014.

McCarthy, A. M., Kontos, D., Synnestvedt, M., Tan, K. S., Heitjan, D. F., Schnall, M., Conant, E. F.. Screening outcomes following implementation of digital breast tomosynthesis in a general-population screening program. *J Natl Cancer Inst*; 2014.

McDonald, E. S., Oustimov, A., Weinstein, S. P., Synnestvedt, M. B., Schnall, M., Conant, E. F.. Effectiveness of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Compared With Digital Mammography: Outcomes Analysis From 3 Years of Breast Cancer Screening. *JAMA Oncol*; 2016.



Miglioretti, D. L., Abraham, L., Lee, C. I., Buist, D. S. M., Herschorn, S. D., Sprague, B. L., Henderson, L. M., Tosteson, A. N. A., Kerlikowske, K.. Digital Breast Tomosynthesis: Radiologist Learning Curve. Radiology; 2019.

Pattacini P, Nitrosi A, Giorgi Rossi P, Iotti V, Ginocchi V, Ravaioli S, Vacondio R, Braglia L, Cavuto S, Campari C, Group., RETomo, Working. Digital Mammography versus Digital Mammography Plus Tomosynthesis for Breast Cancer Screening: The Reggio Emilia Tomosynthesis Randomized Trial.. Radiology ; 2018.

Paulis LE, Lobbes MB, Lalji UC, Gelissen N, Bouwman RW, Wildberger JE, et al. Radiation exposure of digital breast tomosynthesis using an antiscatter grid compared with full-field digital mammography. Invest Radiol; 2015.

Powell, J. L., Hawley, J. R., Lipari, A. M., Yildiz, V. O., Erdal, B. S., Carkaci, S.. Impact of the Addition of Digital Breast Tomosynthesis (DBT) to Standard 2D Digital Screening Mammography on the Rates of Patient Recall, Cancer Detection, and Recommendations for Short-term Follow-up. Acad Radiol; 2016.

Romero Martín S, Raya Povedano JL, Cara García M, Santos Romero AL, Pedrosa Garriguet M, Álvarez Benito M.. Prospective study aiming to compare 2D mammography and tomosynthesis + synthesized mammography in terms of cancer detection and recall. Eur Radiol; 2018.

Rose, S. L., Tidwell, A. L., Ice, M. F., Nordmann, A. S., Sexton, R., Jr., Song, R.. A reader study comparing prospective tomosynthesis interpretations with retrospective readings of the corresponding FFDM examinations. Acad Radiol; 2014.

Sharpe, R.E. Jr et al.. Increased Cancer Detection Rate and Variations in the Recall Rate Resulting from implementation of 3D Digital Breast Tomosynthesis into a Population-base Screening program. Radiology; 2016.

Skaane P, Bandos AI, Gullien R, Eben EB, Ekseth U, Haakenaasen U, et al.. Comparison of digital mammography alone and digital mammography plus tomosynthesis in a population-based screening program. Radiology.; 2013

Skaane P, Bandos, Niklason, Sebuødegård, Østerås, Gullien, Gur, Hofvind. Digital Mammography versus Digital Mammography Plus Tomosynthesis in Breast Cancer Screening: The Oslo Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. Radiology; 2019.

Skaane P, Sebuødegård S, Bandos AI, Gur D, Østerås BH, Gullien R, Hofvind S.. Performance of breast cancer screening using digital breast tomosynthesis: results from the prospective population-based Oslo Tomosynthesis Screening Trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat; 2018.

Starikov, A., et al.. 2D mammography, digital breast tomosynthesis, and ultrasound: Which should be used for the different breast densities in breast cancer screening?. Clinical Imaging; 2016.

Tsilidis KK, Papadimitriou N, Capothanassi D et al. Burden of Cancer in a Large Consortium of Prospective Cohorts in Europe. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2016 May 6;108(10).

Wallis MG, Moa E, Zanca F, Leifland K, Danielsson M. Two-view and single-view tomosynthesis vs. full-field digital mammography: high-resolution X-ray imaging observer study. Radiology; 2012.



## Economic evidence

Bernardi D, Macaskill P, Pellegrini M, Valentini M, Fantò C, Ostillo L, et al. Breast cancer screening with tomosynthesis (3D mammography) with acquired or synthetic 2D mammography compared with 2D mammography alone (STORM-2): a population-based prospective study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2016 Aug;17(8):1105-13.

Gilbert FJ, Tucker L, Young KC. Digital breast tomosynthesis (DBT): a review of the evidence for use as a screening tool. *Clin Radiol.* 2016 Feb; 71(2): 141-50.

Skaane (a) P, Bandos AI, Gullien R, Eben EB, Ekseth U, Haakenaasen U, et al. Comparison of digital mammography alone and digital mammography plus tomosynthesis in a population-based screening program. *Radiology.* 2013;267(1):47-56.

Skaane (b) P, Bandos AI, Gullien R, et al. Prospective trial comparing full-field digital mammography (FFDM) versus combined FFDM and tomosynthesis in a population-based screening programme using independent double reading with arbitration. *Eur Radiol* 2013;23:2061e71.

Wallis MG, Moa E, Zanca F, Leifland K, Danielsson M. Two-view and single-view tomosynthesis versus full-field digital mammography: high-resolution X-ray imaging observer study. *Radiology* 2012; 262: 788-96.