



ECIBC recommendation on breast cancer screening intervals women aged 50-69:

Summary of multiple comparisons

| Comparisons | triennial vs. biennial mammography screening | annual vs. triennial mammography screening |
|-----------------------|--|--|
| Certainty of evidence | Very low | Very low |
| Balance of effects | Probably favors the comparison | Probably favors the comparison |
| Resources required | Moderate savings | Large costs |
| Cost effectiveness | Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison | Does not favor either the intervention or the comparison |
| Equity | Varies | Varies |
| Acceptability | Varies | Varies |
| Feasibility | Yes | Probably no |

Based on the strong recommendation against annual over triennial screening and conditional recommendation of biennial over triennial screening, for the annual vs. biennial mammography screening comparison, the ECIBC's GDG agreed on a **strong recommendation against annual screening** without the need to discuss in detail the evidence to decision framework criteria.