



# ECIBC recommendation on number of readings for allowing mammography readers to work in mammography screening programmes

## Bibliography

### Evidence of effects

Alberdi RZ, Llanes AB, Ortega RA, Expósito RR, Collado JM, Verdes TQ, group., CFPR (Cumulative False Positive Risk) et al., *Effect of radiologist experience on the risk of false-positive results in breast cancer screening programs*, Eur Radiol, n. 21(10), pp 2083-2090, 2011

Barlow WE, Chi C, Carney PA, Taplin SH, D'Orsi C, Cutter G, et al., *Accuracy of screening mammography interpretation by characteristics of radiologists*, J Natl Cancer Inst., n. 96(24), pp 1840-1850, 2004

Buist DS, Anderson ML, Haneuse SJ, Sickles EA, Smith RA, Carney PA, et al., *Influence of annual interpretive volume on screening mammography performance in the United States*, Radiology, n. 259(1), pp 72-84, 2011

Cornford E, Reed J, Murphy A, Bennett R, Evans A, *Optimal screening mammography reading volumes; evidence from real life in the East Midlands region of the NHS Breast Screening Programme*, Clin Radiol, n. 66(2), pp 103-107, 2011

Duncan KA, Scott NW. *Is film-reading performance related to the number of films read? The Scottish experience*, Clinical Radiology, n. 66, pp 99-102, 2011

Elmore JG, Jackson SL, Abraham L, Miglioretti DL, Carney PA, Geller BM, et al., *Variability in interpretive performance at screening mammography and radiologists' characteristics associated with accuracy*, Radiology, n. 253(3), pp 641-651, 2009

Hoff SR, Myklebust TÅ, Lee CI, Hofvind S, *Influence of Mammography Volume on Radiologists' Performance: Results from BreastScreen Norway*, Radiology, n. 292(2), pp 289-296, 2019

Théberge I, Chang SL, Vandal N, Daigle JM, Guertin MH, Pelletier E, et al., *Radiologist interpretive volume and breast cancer screening accuracy in a Canadian organized screening program*, J Natl Cancer Inst, n. 106(3) pp djt461, 2014

Theberge I, Hébert-Croteau N, Langlois A, Major D, Brisson J, *Volume of screening mammography and performance in the Quebec population-based Breast Cancer Screening Program*, CMAJ, n. 172(2), pp 195-199, 2005

### Acceptability

No references included

### Economic Evidence

Chubak J, Boudreau DM, Fishman PA, Elmore JG, *Cost of breast-related care in the year following false positive screening mammograms*, Med Care, n. 48(9), pp 815-820, 2010



Lee CI, Bensink ME, Berry K, Musa Z, Bodnar C, Dann R, et al, *Performance Goals for an Adjunct Diagnostic Test to Reduce Unnecessary Biopsies After Screening Mammography: Analysis of Costs, Benefits, and Consequences*, J Am Coll Radiol., n. 13(11S), pp R81-R88, 2016

Ong MS, Mandl KD, *National expenditure for false-positive mammograms and breast cancer overdiagnoses estimated at \$4 billion a year*, Health Aff (Millwood), n. 34(4), 576-583, 2015

#### **Values and preferences**

No references included